Table 4.1-3. Estimated maximum incremental annual dose (millirem) to noninvolved worker from airborne releases.

						Technologies	3		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Fuel group	Prepare for direct co-disposal	Repackage and prepare to ship	Melt and dilute		Vitrification technologies	Electrometallurgical treatment	Conventional processing ^a	Continued wet storage
A.	Uranium and Thorium Metal Fuels	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	5.3×10 ⁻⁴	NA	5.3×10 ⁻⁴	5.3×10 ⁻⁴	3.2×10^{-4}	1.8x10 ^{-3c}
B.	Materials Test Reactor-Like Fuels	0_{p}	NA	0.27	0.013	0.27	0.27	0.09	0 <u>.</u> 083°
C.	HEU/LEU Oxides and Silicides Requiring Resizing or Special Packaging	0_{p}	NA	0.085	0.0043	0.085	0.085	0.029	0 <u>.</u> 02°
D.	Loose Uranium Oxide in Cans	NA	NA	5.0×10^{-3}	NA	5.0×10^{-3}	5.0×10^{-3}	5.7×10^{-3}	$4.7x10^{-3c}$
E.	Higher Actinide Targets	NA	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.7×10^{-4c}
F.	Non-Aluminum-Clad Fuels	NA	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

NA = Technology is not applicable to this fuel type.

HEU = Highly Enriched Uranium.

LEU = Low Enriched Uranium.

- a. Annual impacts from Conventional Processing are lower because the amount of material processed annually by this technology is less than for other technologies. The annual impacts for Conventional Processing are based on operating one dissolver in a canyon. Impacts would double if the canyon was operated at full capacity (i.e., two dissolvers). Fuel processing of the entire SNF inventory would take over 20 dissolver-years using one dissolver and about 11 dissolver-years using two dissolvers. Processing all the fuel at full capacity in a new treatment facility would take about 7 years. Appendix E provides more information related to processing durations.
- b. No incremental increase expected above SRS baseline radioactive emissions values reported in Chapter 3 because these options would not change the integrity of the fuel.
- c. Reflects current reactor-area emissions (including two SNF wet basins).

_	Table 4.1-4.	Estimated	maximum	incremental	annual d	lose (n	nillirem)	to h	nypothetical	l maximall	y expose	d offsite	individu	al from a	airborne r	eleases.

						Technologies			
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Prepare for		Malt and	Mashaniaal	Vituifi aati aa	Electrone stelleresi cel	Commentional	Continued
	First swarm	direct	and	Melt and			Electrometallurgical	_	Continued
	Fuel group	co-disposal	prepare to ship	dilute	dilution	technologies	treatment	processing	wet storage
A.	Uranium and Thorium Metal Fuels	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	6.5×10 ⁻⁵	NA	6.5×10^{-5}	6.5×10^{-5}	3.9×10^{-5}	2.6×10^{-4c}
B.	Materials Test Reactor-Like Fuels	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	0.033	0.0016	0.033	0.033	0.011	0 <u>.</u> 012 ^c
C.	HEU/LEU Oxides and Silicides Requiring	0_{p}	NA	0.010	5.2×10^{-4}	0.010	0.010	3.5×10^{-3}	$3.3x10^{-3c}$
	Resizing or Special Packaging								
D.	Loose Uranium Oxide in Cans	NA	NA	6.1×10^{-4}	NA	6.1×10^{-4}	6.1×10^{-4}	7.0×10^{-4}	6.9×10^{-4c}
E.	Higher Actinide Targets	NA	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9.9×10^{-5c}
F.	Non-Aluminum-Clad Fuels	NA	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

NA = Technology is not applicable to this fuel type.

HEU = Highly Enriched Uranium.

LEU = Low Enriched Uranium.

- a. Annual impacts from Conventional Processing are lower because the amount of material processed annually by this technology is less than for other technologies. The annual impacts for Conventional Processing are based on operating one dissolver in a canyon. Impacts would double if the canyon was operated at full capacity (i.e., two dissolvers). Fuel processing of the entire SNF inventory would take over 20 dissolver-years using one dissolver and about 11 dissolver-years using two dissolvers. Processing all the fuel at full capacity in a new treatment facility would take about 7 years. Appendix E provides more information related to processing durations.
- b. No incremental increase expected above SRS baseline radioactive emissions values reported in Chapter 3 because these options would not change the integrity of the fuel.
- c. Reflects current reactor-area emissions (including two SNF wet basins).

Table 4.1-5. Estimated maximum incremental annual dose (person-rem) to the 620,100 person population surrounding SRS from airborne releases.

						Technologies	3		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Prepare for	Repackage						
		direct	and	Melt and	Mechanical	Vitrification	Electrometallurgical	Conventional	Continued
	Fuel group	co-disposal	prepare to	dilute	dilution	technologies	treatment	processing ^a	wet storage
			ship						
A.	Uranium and Thorium Metal Fuels	0_{p}	NA	2.4×10 ⁻³	NA	2.4×10 ⁻³	2.4×10 ⁻³	1.4×10 ⁻³	9.5×10^{-3c}
В.	Materials Test Reactor-Like Fuels	0_{p}	NA	1.2	0.060	1.2	1.2	0.41	0.44^{c}
C.	HEU/LEU Oxides and Silicides Requiring	$O_{\rm p}$	NA	0.38	0.019	0.38	0.38	0.13	0.12^{c}
	Resizing or Special Packaging								
D.	Loose Uranium Oxide in Cans	NA	NA	0.022	NA	0.022	0.022	0.026	$0.025^{\rm c}$
E.	Higher Actinide Targets	NA	$0_{\rm p}$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	$3.57x10^{-3c}$
F.	Non-Aluminum-Clad Fuels	NA	O_p	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

NA = Technology is not applicable to this fuel type.

HEU = Highly Enriched Uranium.

LEU = Low Enriched Uranium.

- a. Annual impacts from Conventional Processing are lower because the amount of material processed annually by this technology is less than for other technologies. The annual impacts for Conventional Processing are based on operating one dissolver in a canyon. Impacts would double if the canyon was operated at full capacity (i.e., two dissolvers). Fuel processing of the entire SNF inventory would take over 20 dissolver-years using one dissolver and about 11 dissolver-years using two dissolvers. Processing all the fuel at full capacity in a new treatment facility would take about 7 years. Appendix E provides more information related to processing durations.
- b. No incremental increase expected above SRS baseline radioactive emissions values reported in Chapter 3 because these options would not change the integrity of the fuel.
- c. Reflects current reactor-area emissions (including two SNF wet basins).